

Workforce Florida, Inc.
1974 Commonwealth Lane
Tallahassee, FL. 32303
850.921.1119



Red & Green
Performance Report

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

Report Run Date: 5/3/2006

New Definitions and Methodologies

The attached is the second quarter PY 2005-2006 which is being issued according to the official definitions and methodologies that came into effect this program year. These revised definitions and methodologies were developed for several purposes: 1. To implement the recommendations of the 2003 WFI Red & Green Report Working Group; 2. To correct errors in the previous methodologies and to respond to limitations of the OSMIS system; and 3. To respond to changes in corresponding federal measures. You will find that the WIA measures for adults and dislocated workers and the three customer satisfaction measures remain unchanged with the exception that they now employ the new federal definition of "exiter" (See the comment below). The previous measures for Welfare Return Rate, WP New Hire Involvement Rate, and WP Employer Rate have been dropped based on the recommendations of WFI Red & Green Working Group. Work on the Welfare Transition Federal Participation Rate Measure is still being reviewed. However, the performance is included in this report unranked and without color designation. AWI/WFI are still seeking clarification and testing options to ensure we employ a short-term methodology at the local level which will accurately reflect and predict the state outcome calculated by HHS. Two new informational measures are now included based on the recommendations of the WFI Red & Green Working Group: the WIA In-School Youth Outcome Rate and the WIA Out-of-School Youth Outcome Rate. The previous WIA youth measures for WIA Youth Goal Attainment and WIA Youth Positive Outcome remain on this report and will be continued through this program year based on the recommendations of the RWBs.

Ranking

According to the new methodology, the results for all measures are ranked and colored on a strict quartile basis. Measures will no longer be ranked according to goals as short term goals have not been established for PY2005 – 2006 and beyond.

Exit Definition

The newly adopted federal definition of "exiter" now applies to all Red and Green measures effective 7/1/05. Under the new federal definition a participant is counted when he/she does not receive a service for 90 consecutive calendar days but the exit date is retroactively recorded as the last date of service. This means data will be lagging compared to previous methodologies. See the 11/15/05 AWI Memo for full details.

Important Note Regarding Red and Green and Monthly Management Reports Comparisons

There are major differences between the MMR and the Red and Green reports in terms of cohorts of exiters used in calculating performance outcomes. For example, the WP entered employment rate for the July MMR uses participants who received a service in April but had no subsequent services in May, June or July while the August MMR for WP entered employment use May participants with no services in the next three months, etc.

However, the Red and Green report uses July, August, and September participants who had no services in October November and December (90 days with no services from exit date). One cannot make a direct comparison between the MMR and the R&G unless the cohort timings are considered.

To compare results from the MMR and the Red and Green for the WP entered employment rate, one would have to use the period of time from October 2005 - March 2006 on the MMR (where data are based on exiters from July thru December) to compare with exiters on the Red and Green for the period of time July thru December.

Workforce Florida, Inc.
 Red-Green Performance Report
 Quarter Ending 12/31/2005 2nd QTR PY 2005-2006

PY 7-1-2005 to 12-31-2005

Performance Measure	RWB →	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	STW
1	Welfare Entered Employment Rate	39.65%	38.69%	32.58%	40.22%	40.27%	37.28%	37.02%	35.61%	40.28%	28.66%	37.08%	38.65%	45.97%	38.75%	39.30%	35.54%	36.07%	38.18%	37.07%	33.56%	31.06%	36.68%	32.38%	39.32%	35.82%
2	Welfare Trans Ent Emp Wage Rate	73.50%	69.01%	71.50%	70.03%	68.32%	68.33%	65.65%	69.96%	70.14%	69.81%	72.95%	70.61%	73.07%	75.53%	73.73%	71.46%	71.95%	80.39%	71.44%	76.87%	72.16%	73.34%	68.08%	77.03%	71.61%
3 * NOTE 2	Welfare Participation Rate	56.86%	48.58%	38.04%	53.28%	47.46%	39.81%	41.09%	46.55%	50.49%	28.23%	41.39%	45.28%	57.36%	45.24%	51.68%	60.54%	58.62%	61.99%	66.26%	56.14%	31.23%	27.38%	40.08%	34.30%	42.98%
4	WIA Emp Worker Outcome Rate	96.67%	71.43%	100.00%	95.24%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.33%	100.00%	98.68%	86.67%	99.53%	89.19%	98.98%	76.80%	68.42%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	97.84%	100.00%	94.21%	87.23%	96.55%	94.63%
5	WIA Adult Ent Emp Rate	100.00%	81.82%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.16%	100.00%	87.50%	100.00%	100.00%	92.68%	100.00%	100.00%	93.10%	99.28%	100.00%	98.70%	97.97%	94.92%	98.33%
6	WIA Adult Ent Emp Wage Rate	153.58%	111.87%	105.00%	129.86%	118.84%	96.36%	152.10%	130.06%	134.50%	170.70%	97.38%	111.36%	153.79%	165.38%	167.71%	108.05%	104.37%	133.99%	111.79%	112.55%	108.90%	123.07%	107.05%	115.62%	123.45%
7	WIA Disl Work Ent Emp Rate	100.00%	73.08%	100.00%	91.67%	100.00%	83.33%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	89.47%	100.00%	100.00%	98.41%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	97.33%	100.00%	100.00%	97.28%	96.19%	96.12%
8	WIA Disl Work Ent Emp Wage Rate	131.23%	122.43%	105.40%	143.25%	133.22%	123.83%	98.91%	144.56%	130.31%	176.68%	114.03%	126.77%	160.35%	164.61%	139.55%	119.07%	128.28%	145.44%	131.12%	125.34%	140.78%	155.94%	123.80%	112.48%	138.22%
9	WIA Youth Goal Attainment Rate	92.20%	95.24%	90.91%	97.08%	99.16%	27.78%	88.46%	84.82%	79.31%	80.41%	85.48%	93.20%	87.50%	89.87%	91.39%	77.19%	92.77%	68.75%	77.70%	98.08%	88.80%	56.10%	75.51%	92.11%	84.80%
10	WIA Youth Pos Outcome Rate	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	97.56%	100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.24%	100.00%	91.23%	92.86%	100.00%	93.64%	100.00%	97.06%	88.89%	100.00%	94.74%	92.59%	100.00%	79.31%	81.75%	100.00%	92.01%
11	WP Entered Employment Rate	33.76%	49.78%	47.58%	47.41%	48.26%	45.23%	38.80%	36.38%	31.32%	43.69%	56.85%	30.58%	43.35%	47.08%	38.04%	39.46%	45.83%	49.29%	46.73%	47.60%	32.32%	34.86%	32.70%	49.53%	38.70%
12 NOTE 3	WP Job Order Wage Rate	87.93%	87.69%	77.20%	96.80%	65.95%	80.36%	81.61%	86.13%	78.59%	96.19%	92.58%	82.38%	84.94%	87.29%	88.43%	80.89%	82.35%	90.09%	86.62%	98.64%	74.46%	112.80%	86.37%	99.73%	88.22%
13	Customer Satis - WIA Individuals	7.98	8.53	8.81	8.84	7.72	8.25	7.73	8.35	8.50	8.67	8.14	7.93	7.80	8.40	8.07	8.21	7.85	8.79	8.84	8.56	8.15	7.91	8.15	8.37	8.23
14	Customer Satis - Wagner Peyser Indiv	7.46	7.92	8.23	8.11	7.47	7.83	7.41	7.02	7.42	7.68	7.63	7.18	7.61	7.01	7.46	7.31	7.95	7.53	8.27	8.13	7.50	7.62	8.01	7.90	7.66
15	Customer Satis - All Employers	7.46	7.81	8.62	8.01	7.51	7.94	7.89	7.56	7.27	7.52	7.70	6.89	7.43	6.94	7.62	7.73	7.57	7.08	7.95	6.89	7.08	6.94	7.12	6.91	7.47
16	Informational Youth WIA Out of School	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	96.43%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	79.59%	98.77%	97.56%	98.15%	100.00%	90.91%	99.52%	100.00%	83.33%	100.00%	100.00%	90.84%	84.56%	100.00%	93.71%
17	Informational WIA Youth in School	87.50%	90.91%	55.56%	75.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	37.50%	87.50%	100.00%	100.00%	88.89%	100.00%	100.00%	81.54%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	87.50%	57.75%	100.00%	74.20%

NOTE 1 - All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency for Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.

NOTE 2 - Performance not colored or ranked. Work is continuing to refine the measure methodology so that it will accurately reflect HHS calculations. See the note on the table for Measure #3.

NOTE 3 - AWI has verified the outcomes for this measure based on its recent review of the measure methodology and corrections/updates to the MMR.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number One - Welfare Entered Employment Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# CASES CLOSED DUE TO EARNINGS	# CASES CLOSED	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE (%)
13	188	409	45.97%
09	201	499	40.28%
05	323	802	40.27%
04	183	455	40.22%
01	272	686	39.65%
24	230	585	39.32%
15	992	2,524	39.30%
14	508	1,311	38.75%
02	53	137	38.69%
12	1,437	3,718	38.65%
18	155	406	38.18%
06	126	338	37.28%
11	366	987	37.08%
19	119	321	37.07%
07	87	235	37.02%
22	990	2,699	36.68%
17	259	718	36.07%
08	611	1,716	35.61%
16	328	923	35.54%
20	339	1,010	33.56%
03	43	132	32.58%
23	2,841	8,774	32.38%
21	511	1,645	31.06%
10	190	663	28.66%
STW	11,352	31,693	35.82%

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Two - Welfare Entered Employment Wage Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	WAGE AT ENTRY (\$)	LLSIL (\$)	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT WAGE RATE (%)
18	\$8.92	\$11.10	80.39%
24	\$8.66	\$11.24	77.03%
20	\$8.47	\$11.02	76.87%
14	\$8.56	\$11.33	75.53%
15	\$8.41	\$11.41	73.73%
01	\$7.86	\$10.69	73.50%
22	\$8.54	\$11.64	73.34%
13	\$8.10	\$11.09	73.07%
11	\$7.86	\$10.78	72.95%
21	\$8.42	\$11.67	72.16%
17	\$8.03	\$11.16	71.95%
03	\$7.40	\$10.35	71.50%
16	\$7.89	\$11.04	71.46%
19	\$7.58	\$10.61	71.44%
12	\$8.01	\$11.35	70.61%
09	\$7.76	\$11.07	70.14%
04	\$7.44	\$10.62	70.03%
08	\$8.02	\$11.46	69.96%
10	\$7.51	\$10.76	69.81%
02	\$7.40	\$10.72	69.01%
06	\$7.17	\$10.49	68.33%
05	\$7.65	\$11.19	68.32%
23	\$7.84	\$11.52	68.08%
07	\$7.00	\$10.66	65.65%
STW	\$8.08	\$11.29	71.61%

FPLI = 2005 Florida Price Level Index,

LLSIL = 2005 Lower Living Standard Income Level

The Annual PY 2005-2006 LLSIL for a family of 3 for Florida is \$23,483 or \$11.29/hour. This wage is determined by state policy as the indicator for "self-sufficiency" wage and used for the denominator in calculating the Red and Green Report wage rate measures. The measure is calculated by multiplying the LLSIL for a Family of 3 by the FPLI for the region. Source: AWI, Office Labor Market Statistics

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Three - Welfare Federal Participation Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	NUMERATOR * See note	DENOMINATOR * See note	WELFARE PARTICIPATION RATE (%)
01	398	700	56.86%
02	120	247	48.58%
03	62	163	38.04%
04	195	366	53.28%
05	430	906	47.46%
06	123	309	39.81%
07	113	275	41.09%
08	885	1,901	46.55%
09	258	511	50.49%
10	175	620	28.23%
11	476	1,150	41.39%
12	1,839	4,061	45.28%
13	269	469	57.36%
14	713	1,576	45.24%
15	1,338	2,589	51.68%
16	629	1,039	60.54%
17	466	795	58.62%
18	181	292	61.99%
19	163	246	66.26%
20	398	709	56.14%
21	583	1,867	31.23%
22	922	3,367	27.38%
23	4,245	10,592	40.08%
24	177	516	34.30%
STW	15,158	35,266	42.98%

Ways the Federal Participation Rate monthly file is calculated (and displayed on the MMR) that is different than the way the Fed Participation Rate quarterly file is calculated. 1.) monthly services, hours and sanctions are pulled 10 days after the end of the month, quarterly data pulled much later allowing for services, hours and sanctions to be picked up more accurately. 2.) MONTHLY procedure currently doesn't: check the 12 month lifetime logic for the vocational education activity for participants; run the subject to sanction logic which checks to see if the participant has been subject to sanction for 3 for 12 consecutive months; run the youngest child logic against 2 different DCF "family" files; run the complex "BASIC PLUS" activity logic or count single heads of household under 20 as "fully participating" if they are only engaged in 20 avg. hrs per week

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.

* For details see AWI Memo Subject: Red and Green Measures and Definitions dated 11/15/2005. This document can be found at www.floridajobs.org/pdg/Memos/RedGreenMeasuresAndDefinitions111505.pdf



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Four - WIA Employed Worker Outcome Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# ATTAINED A CREDENTIAL	# EXITERS	WORKER OUTCOME RATE (%)
03	17	17	100.00
05	6	6	100.00
06	8	8	100.00
07	24	24	100.00
09	30	30	100.00
17	16	16	100.00
18	70	70	100.00
19	9	9	100.00
21	79	79	100.00
12	210	211	99.53
08	296	298	99.33
14	97	98	98.98
10	75	76	98.68
20	136	139	97.84
01	29	30	96.67
24	28	29	96.55
04	20	21	95.24
22	114	121	94.21
13	66	74	89.19
23	82	94	87.23
11	13	15	86.67
15	96	125	76.80
02	20	28	71.43
16	26	38	68.42
STW	1,567	1,656	94.63

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Five - WIA Adult Entered Employment Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# ENTERING EMPLOYMENT	# EXITERS NOT EMPLOYED @ REG	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE (%)
01	29	29	100.00
03	36	36	100.00
04	109	109	100.00
05	8	8	100.00
06	11	11	100.00
07	9	9	100.00
08	110	110	100.00
09	66	66	100.00
10	254	254	100.00
12	78	78	100.00
14	40	40	100.00
15	43	43	100.00
17	18	18	100.00
18	13	13	100.00
21	248	248	100.00
20	138	139	99.28
22	228	231	98.70
23	1,159	1,163	97.97
11	59	62	95.16
24	56	59	94.92
19	27	29	93.10
16	38	41	92.68
13	21	24	87.50
02	27	33	81.82
STW	2,825	2,873	98.33

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Six - WIA Adult Entered Employment Wage Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	WAGE AT ENTRY (\$)	LLSIL (\$)	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT WAGE RATE (%)
10	\$18.37	\$10.76	170.70
15	\$19.14	\$11.41	167.71
14	\$18.74	\$11.33	165.38
13	\$17.05	\$11.09	153.79
01	\$16.43	\$10.69	153.58
07	\$16.21	\$10.66	152.10
09	\$14.89	\$11.07	134.50
18	\$14.87	\$11.10	133.99
08	\$14.91	\$11.48	130.06
04	\$13.79	\$10.62	129.86
22	\$14.33	\$11.64	123.07
05	\$13.30	\$11.19	118.84
24	\$13.00	\$11.24	115.62
20	\$12.40	\$11.02	112.55
02	\$11.99	\$10.72	111.87
19	\$11.86	\$10.61	111.79
12	\$12.64	\$11.35	111.36
21	\$12.71	\$11.67	108.90
16	\$11.93	\$11.04	108.05
23	\$12.33	\$11.52	107.05
03	\$10.87	\$10.35	105.00
17	\$11.65	\$11.16	104.37
11	\$10.50	\$10.78	97.38
06	\$10.11	\$10.49	96.36
STW	\$13.94	\$11.29	123.45

FPLI = 2005 Florida Price Level Index, LLSIL = 2005 Lower Living Standard Income Level

The Annual PY 2005-2006 LLSIL for a family of 3 for Florida is \$23,483 or \$11.29/hour; selected by state policy as the indicator for "self-sufficiency" wage and used for the denominator in calculating the Red and Green Report wage rate measures. The measure is calculated by multiplying the LLSIL for a Family of 3 by the FPLI for the region. Source: AWI, Office Labor Market Statistics.

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Seven - WIA Dislocated Worker Entered Employment Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# ENTERING EMPLOYMENT	# EXITERS	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE (%)
01	15	15	100.00
03	11	11	100.00
05	13	13	100.00
07	13	13	100.00
08	61	61	100.00
09	19	19	100.00
10	41	41	100.00
11	21	21	100.00
12	107	107	100.00
14	115	115	100.00
15	76	76	100.00
17	12	12	100.00
18	40	40	100.00
19	15	15	100.00
21	204	204	100.00
22	330	330	100.00
16	62	63	98.41
20	73	75	97.33
23	572	588	97.28
24	101	105	96.19
04	11	12	91.67
13	51	57	89.47
06	5	6	83.33
02	19	26	73.08
STW	1,987	2,025	96.12

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Eight - WIA Dislocated Worker Entered Employment Wage Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	WAGE AT ENTRY (\$)	LLSIL (\$)	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT WAGE RATE (%)
10	\$19.01	\$10.76	176.68
14	\$18.65	\$11.33	164.61
13	\$17.78	\$11.09	160.35
22	\$18.15	\$11.64	155.94
18	\$16.14	\$11.10	145.44
08	\$16.57	\$11.46	144.56
04	\$15.21	\$10.62	143.25
21	\$16.43	\$11.67	140.78
15	\$15.92	\$11.41	139.55
05	\$14.91	\$11.19	133.22
01	\$14.03	\$10.69	131.23
19	\$13.91	\$10.61	131.12
09	\$14.43	\$11.07	130.31
17	\$14.32	\$11.16	128.28
12	\$14.39	\$11.35	126.77
20	\$13.81	\$11.02	125.34
06	\$12.99	\$10.49	123.83
23	\$14.26	\$11.52	123.80
02	\$13.12	\$10.72	122.43
16	\$13.15	\$11.04	119.07
11	\$12.29	\$10.78	114.03
24	\$13.77	\$11.24	112.48
03	\$10.91	\$10.35	105.40
07	\$10.54	\$10.66	98.91
STW	\$15.60	\$11.29	138.22

FPLI = 2005 Florida Price Level Index, LLSIL = 2005 Lower Living Standard Income Level

The Annual PY 2005-2006 LLSIL for a family of 3 for Florida is \$23,483 or \$11.29/hour; selected by state policy as the indicator for "self-sufficiency" wage and used for the denominator in calculating the Red and Green Report wage rate measures. The measures is calculated by multiplying the LLSIL for a Family of 3 by the FPLI for the region. Source: AWI, Office Labor Market Statistics

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



Red - Green Report

Measure Number Nine - Youth Skill Attainment Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# GOALS ATTAINED	# GOALS DUE	ATTAINMENT RATE (%)
5	118	119	99.16
20	409	417	98.08
4	233	240	97.08
2	40	42	95.24
12	96	103	93.20
17	77	83	92.77
1	130	141	92.20
24	70	76	92.11
15	276	302	91.39
3	60	66	90.91
14	71	79	89.87
21	111	125	88.80
7	69	78	88.46
13	28	32	87.50
11	53	62	85.48
8	218	257	84.82
10	78	97	80.41
9	92	116	79.31
19	108	139	77.70
16	44	57	77.19
23	922	1,221	75.51
18	11	16	68.75
22	46	82	56.10
6	5	18	27.78
STW	3,365	3,968	84.80

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Ten - WIA Youth Positive Outcome Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# OF POSITIVE OUTCOMES	# YOUTH EXITERS	OUTCOME RATE (%)
01	22	22	100.00
02	13	13	100.00
03	23	23	100.00
05	12	12	100.00
07	2	2	100.00
08	64	64	100.00
10	15	15	100.00
13	24	24	100.00
15	99	99	100.00
18	9	9	100.00
21	32	32	100.00
24	21	21	100.00
04	120	123	97.56
16	33	34	97.06
09	40	42	95.24
19	18	19	94.74
14	31	33	93.64
12	13	14	92.86
20	25	27	92.59
11	52	57	91.23
17	8	9	88.89
23	233	285	81.75
22	46	58	79.31
06	1	2	50.00
STW	956	1,039	92.01

DATA SOURCE: All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Eleven - Wagner Peyser Entered Employment Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# OF INDIVIDUALS PLACED AND OBTAINED EMPLOYMENT	# OF APPLICANTS	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE (%)
11	4,965	8,734	56.85%
02	2,313	4,646	49.78%
24	12,308	24,850	49.53%
18	5,325	10,804	49.29%
05	4,694	9,727	48.26%
20	8,466	17,784	47.60%
03	2,055	4,319	47.58%
04	2,803	5,912	47.41%
14	10,370	22,027	47.08%
19	1,860	3,980	46.73%
17	7,436	16,224	45.83%
06	1,184	2,618	45.23%
10	5,034	11,523	43.69%
13	6,661	15,366	43.35%
16	5,257	13,321	39.46%
07	1,272	3,278	38.80%
15	15,537	40,847	38.04%
08	14,718	40,458	36.38%
22	14,170	40,643	34.86%
01	5,097	15,099	33.76%
23	19,061	58,283	32.70%
21	9,409	29,115	32.32%
09	2,131	6,805	31.32%
12	18,231	59,608	30.58%
STW	180,357	466,049	38.70%

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



Red - Green Report

Measure Number Twelve - Wagner Peyser Job Order Wage Rate

Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# of Placements on Job Order times the Minimum Salary Range divided by the # of Placements	Regionally Adjusted LLSIL for a Family of 3 - LLSIL (\$)	Job Order WAGE RATE (%)
22	\$13.13	\$11.64	112.80%
24	\$11.21	\$11.24	99.73%
20	\$10.87	\$11.02	98.64%
04	\$10.28	\$10.62	96.80%
10	\$10.35	\$10.76	96.19%
11	\$9.98	\$10.78	92.58%
18	\$10.00	\$11.10	90.09%
15	\$10.09	\$11.41	88.43%
01	\$9.40	\$10.69	87.93%
02	\$9.40	\$10.72	87.69%
14	\$9.89	\$11.33	87.29%
19	\$9.19	\$10.61	86.62%
23	\$9.95	\$11.52	86.37%
08	\$9.87	\$11.46	86.13%
13	\$9.42	\$11.09	84.94%
12	\$9.35	\$11.35	82.38%
17	\$9.19	\$11.16	82.35%
07	\$8.70	\$10.66	81.61%
16	\$8.93	\$11.04	80.89%
06	\$8.43	\$10.49	80.36%
09	\$8.70	\$11.07	78.59%
03	\$7.99	\$10.35	77.20%
21	\$8.69	\$11.67	74.46%
05	\$7.38	\$11.19	65.95%
STW	\$9.96	\$11.29	88.22%

FPLI = 2005 Florida Price Level Index,

LLSIL = 2005 Lower Living Standard Income Level

The Annual PY 2005-2006 LLSIL for a family of 3 for Florida is \$23,483 or \$11.29/hour. This wage is determined by state policy as the indicator for "self-sufficiency" wage and used for the denominator in calculating the Red and Green Report wage rate measures. The measures is calculated by multiplying the LLSIL for a Family of 3 by the FPLI for the region. Source: AWI, Office Labor Market Statistics

AWI has verified the outcomes for this measure based on its recent review of the measure methodology and corrections/updates to the MMR.

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



Red - Green Report

**Measure Number Thirteen- Customer Satisfaction WIA Individuals (Participants)
Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005**

RWB	ACSI SCORE
19	8.84
04	8.84
03	8.81
18	8.79
10	8.67
20	8.56
02	8.53
09	8.50
14	8.40
24	8.37
08	8.35
06	8.25
16	8.21
23	8.15
21	8.15
11	8.14
15	8.07
01	7.98
12	7.93
22	7.91
17	7.85
13	7.80
07	7.73
05	7.72
STW	8.23

The American Customer Satisfaction Index – The ACSI weighted score usually yields scores slightly less than the average. The Voice of the Nation’s Consumer Established in 1994, the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) is a uniform and independent measure of household consumption experience. A powerful economic indicator, the ACSI tracks trends in customer satisfaction and provides valuable benchmarking insights of the consumer economy for companies, industry trade associations, and government agencies. The ACSI is produced through a partnership of the University of Michigan Business School, the American Society for Quality (ASQ), and the international consulting firm, CFI Group. The ACSI reports scores on a 0-100 scale at the national level. However, the State of Florida’s workforce policy has adapted a revised 10 point scale for this measure. It measures 7 economic sectors, 35 industries (including e-commerce), 190 companies, and Federal or local government agencies. In addition to the company-level satisfaction scores, the ACSI produces scores for the causes and consequences of customer satisfaction, and their relationships. The ACSI is based on econometric modeling of data obtained from telephone interviews with customers – the actual users of products and services that make up a substantial part of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The measured companies, industries, and sectors are broadly representative of the U.S. economy serving American households. Companies based outside of the United States with major market shares in several industries are also included in the ACSI. Smaller companies are grouped together in an “All Other” category. Data are collected at the individual customer level, with scores for a company’s customers aggregated to produce company-level results. The score for a particular industry consists of an average of its company scores, weighted by the revenues of each company. Sector scores consist of industry scores, weighted by industry revenues. The national ACSI is comprised of sector scores weighted by each sector’s contribution to the GDP. For more information: http://www.theacsi.org/what_it_measures.htm

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF’s FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue’s New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



Red - Green Report

**Measure Number Fourteen- Customer Satisfaction W-P Individuals (Applicants)
Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005**

RWB	ACSI SCORE
19	8.27
03	8.23
20	8.13
04	8.11
23	8.01
17	7.95
02	7.92
24	7.90
06	7.83
10	7.68
11	7.63
22	7.62
13	7.61
18	7.53
21	7.51
05	7.47
01	7.46
15	7.46
09	7.42
07	7.41
16	7.31
12	7.18
08	7.02
14	7.01
STW	7.66

The American Customer Satisfaction Index – The ACSI weighted score usually yields scores slightly less than the average. The Voice of the Nation’s Consumer Established in 1994, the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) is a uniform and independent measure of household consumption experience. A powerful economic indicator, the ACSI tracks trends in customer satisfaction and provides valuable benchmarking insights of the consumer economy for companies, industry trade associations, and government agencies. The ACSI is produced through a partnership of the University of Michigan Business School, the American Society for Quality (ASQ), and the international consulting firm, CFI Group. The ACSI reports scores on a 0-100 scale at the national level. However, the State of Florida’s workforce policy has adapted a revised 10 point scale for this measure. It measures 7 economic sectors, 35 industries (including e-commerce), 190 companies, and Federal or local government agencies. In addition to the company-level satisfaction scores, the ACSI produces scores for the causes and consequences of customer satisfaction, and their relationships. The ACSI is based on econometric modeling of data obtained from telephone interviews with customers – the actual users of products and services that make up a substantial part of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The measured companies, industries, and sectors are broadly representative of the U.S. economy serving American households. Companies based outside of the United States with major market shares in several industries are also included in the ACSI. Smaller companies are grouped together in an “All Other” category. Data are collected at the individual customer level, with scores for a company’s customers aggregated to produce company-level results. The score for a particular industry consists of an average of its company scores, weighted by the revenues of each company. Sector scores consist of industry scores, weighted by industry revenues. The national ACSI is comprised of sector scores weighted by each sector’s contribution to the GDP. For more information: http://www.theacsi.org/what_it_measures.htm

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF’s FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue’s New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



Red - Green Report

**Measure Number Fifteen- Customer Satisfaction All Employers
Quarter Ending: 12/31/2005**

RWB	ACSI SCORE
03	8.62
04	8.01
19	7.95
06	7.94
07	7.89
02	7.81
16	7.73
11	7.70
15	7.62
17	7.57
08	7.56
10	7.52
05	7.51
01	7.46
13	7.43
09	7.27
23	7.12
18	7.08
21	7.08
22	6.94
14	6.94
24	6.91
12	6.89
20	6.89
STW	7.47

The American Customer Satisfaction Index – The ACSI weighted score usually yields scores slightly less than the average. The Voice of the Nation’s Consumer Established in 1994, the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) is a uniform and independent measure of household consumption experience. A powerful economic indicator, the ACSI tracks trends in customer satisfaction and provides valuable benchmarking insights of the consumer economy for companies, industry trade associations, and government agencies. The ACSI is produced through a partnership of the University of Michigan Business School, the American Society for Quality (ASQ), and the international consulting firm, CFI Group. The ACSI reports scores on a 0-100 scale at the national level. However, the State of Florida’s workforce policy has adapted a revised 10 point scale for this measure. It measures 7 economic sectors, 35 industries (including e-commerce), 190 companies, and Federal or local government agencies. In addition to the company-level satisfaction scores, the ACSI produces scores for the causes and consequences of customer satisfaction, and their relationships. The ACSI is based on econometric modeling of data obtained from telephone interviews with customers – the actual users of products and services that make up a substantial part of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The measured companies, industries, and sectors are broadly representative of the U.S. economy serving American households. Companies based outside of the United States with major market shares in several industries are also included in the ACSI. Smaller companies are grouped together in an “All Other” category. Data are collected at the individual customer level, with scores for a company’s customers aggregated to produce company-level results. The score for a particular industry consists of an average of its company scores, weighted by the revenues of each company. Sector scores consist of industry scores, weighted by industry revenues. The national ACSI is comprised of sector scores weighted by each sector’s contribution to the GDP. For more information: http://www.theacsi.org/what_it_measures.htm

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF’s FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue’s New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



Red - Green Report
Informational WIA Out of School Youth Outcome Rate

Year Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# OF POSITIVE OUTCOMES	# YOUTH EXITERS	OUTCOME RATE (%)
01	22	22	100.00
02	9	9	100.00
03	24	24	100.00
04	27	28	96.43
05	20	20	100.00
06	4	4	100.00
07	9	9	100.00
08	48	48	100.00
09	13	13	100.00
10	23	23	100.00
11	39	49	79.59
12	80	81	98.77
13	40	41	97.56
14	53	54	98.15
15	74	74	100.00
16	20	22	90.91
17	209	210	99.52
18	24	24	100.00
19	5	6	83.33
20	63	63	100.00
21	99	99	100.00
22	119	131	90.84
23	367	434	84.56
24	55	55	100.00
STW	1,446	1,543	93.71

DATA SOURCE: All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AwI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



03-May-06

Red - Green Report

Informational WIA In-School Outcome Rate

Year Ending: 12/31/2005

RWB	# GOALS ATTAINED	# GOALS DUE	ATTAINMENT RATE (%)
1	7	8	87.50
2	10	11	90.91
3	10	18	55.56
4	90	120	75.00
5	9	9	100.00
6	1	1	100.00
7	1	1	100.00
8	21	56	37.50
9	35	40	87.50
10	11	11	100.00
11	25	25	100.00
12	8	9	88.89
13	8	8	100.00
14	13	13	100.00
15	53	65	81.54
16	25	25	100.00
17	1	1	100.00
18	0	0	0.00
19	5	5	100.00
20	11	11	100.00
21	6	6	100.00
22	7	8	87.50
23	82	142	57.75
24	4	4	100.00
STW	443	597	74.20

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.

Definitions of State Red/Green Report, Short-Term Measures for the Program Year 2005-2006 as Approved by the Workforce Florida Board

The revised definitions below implement the recommendations of the 2003 WFI Red & Green Report working group and are designed to correct previous errors in methodology and to more closely align the measures with corresponding federal long-term measures.

The results for all measures are ranked and colored on a strict quartile basis as no short-term goals have been established for 2005-2006. The new federal definition of "exiter" also now applies. Under this definition a participant is to be counted when he/she does not receive a service for 90 consecutive calendar days but the exit date is retroactively recorded as the last date of service.

1. WELFARE ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE

The percentage of closed TANF funded Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA) cases that were closed due to earned income. The numerator is the unduplicated sum of TCA cases that received TANF during the report period that were closed due to earnings. The denominator is the unduplicated sum of closed TCA cases that received TANF during the report period.

2. WELFARE TRANSITION ENTERED EMPLOYMENT WAGE RATE

The average welfare transition program hourly wage at entry into employment for all TANF individuals expressed as a percentage of the regionally adjusted Lower Living Standard Income Level (LLSIL) for a family of three. Regional adjustments are based on the Florida Price Level Index. (Source: AWI, Office of Workforce Information Services, Labor Market Statistics.

3. WELFARE TRANSITION FEDERAL PARTICIPATION RATE

This the federal participation rate measure. The percentage of all clients receiving temporary cash assistance Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA) that are engaged in a Federal allowable /countable work activity for at least 30 hours per week. Participation rate is a process measure. The list of Federal allowable/countable work activities includes the following: unsubsidized employment, subsidized private sector employment, subsidized public sector employment, community service work experience, work experience, job search and job readiness assistance and job skills training. Currently the federal standard is 50%.

4. ADULT EMPLOYED WORKER OUTCOME RATE

Of those who are employed at registration, the number remaining in employment and who attain a credential at exit: divided by the number of adults who exit during the quarter.

5. WIA ADULT ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE

Applies the WIA core measure for entered employment at exit. Of those adults' unemployed at registration, the percentage employed at exit.

6. WIA ADULT WAGE RATE

The average adult hourly wage at exit expressed as a percentage of the regionally adjusted Lower Living Standard Income Level (LLSIL) for a family of three. Regional adjustments are based on the Florida Price Level Index. (Source: AWI, Office of Workforce Information Services, Labor Market Statistics)

7. WIA DISLOCATED WORKER ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE

Applies the WIA core measure for entered employment at exit. The percentage of all dislocated workers employed at exit.

8. WIA DISLOCATED WORKER ENTERED EMPLOYMENT WAGE RATE

The average dislocated worker hourly wage at exit expressed as a percentage of the regionally adjusted Lower Living Standard Income Level (LLSIL) for a family of three. Regional adjustments are based on the Florida Price Level Index. (Source: AWI, Office of Workforce Information Services, Labor Market Statistics)

9. WIA YOUTH GOAL ATTAINMENT RATE

The number of goals obtained by youth 14-18 as percentage of goals set to be obtained for three categories of younger youth goals: basic skills, work readiness, and occupational skills. This measure is the same as the federal WIA core indicator.

10. WIA YOUTH POSITIVE OUTCOME RATE

The percentage of youth exiters 14-18 with positive outcomes. This measure expresses the number of younger youth participants who enter employment, the military, apprenticeship programs, post-secondary education, and/or stay in secondary education or receive a diploma as a percentage of all younger youth exiters.

11. WAGNER-PEYSER ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE

Based on data entered into the DLES MIS system and data reported by the Department of Revenue monthly New Hire Report, the percentage of Wagner-Peyser applicants who enter employment. The numerator is the number of individuals placed plus the those identified through a match with the New Hire Report as employed. Based on the federal core measure methodology, only job seekers who are unemployed at participation, receive a reportable service, and become exiters are counted in the denominator.

12. WAGNER-PEYSER JOB ORDER WAGE RATE

The average Wagner-Peyser job order hourly wage based on job orders filled, expressed as a percentage of the regionally adjusted Lower Living Standard Income Level (LLSIL) for a family of three. Regional adjustments are based on the Florida Price Level Index. (Source: AWI, Office of Workforce Information Services, Labor Market Statistics) The numerator is calculated by multiplying the number of placements on a job order by the minimum of the salary range and then dividing it by the number of placements.

13. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION – WIA INDIVIDUALS

Based on a monthly telephone survey, the average participant rating for the three federally mandated questions regarding overall satisfaction reported on a 10-point scale. The methodology is that currently employed under WIA for the regions in the survey conducted in Florida by Brandt Information Services, Inc. under contract with WFI.

14. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION – WAGNER-PEYSER (WP) INDIVIDUALS

Based on a monthly telephone survey, the average participant rating for the three federally mandated questions regarding overall satisfaction reported on a 10-point scale. The methodology is the same as that currently employed under WIA for the regions in the survey conducted in Florida by Brandt Information Services, Inc. under contract with WFI.

15. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION – ALL EMPLOYERS

Based on a monthly telephone survey, the average employer rating for the three federally mandated questions regarding overall satisfaction reported on a 10-point scale. The methodology is that currently employed under WIA for the regions in the survey conducted in Florida by Brandt Information Services, Inc. under contract with WFI.

16. INFORMATION MEASURE: WIA OUT-OF-SCHOOL YOUTH OUTCOME RATE

Of all out-of-school youth served, the percentage with positive outcomes at exit. The numerator is the count of all out-of school older and younger youth, including those 18-21 served as only as adults, who exit with a credential, or obtain a diploma, or who by exit were in post-secondary education or advanced training or qualified apprenticeships or the military or who entered employment.

17. INFORMATION MEASURE: WIA IN-SCHOOL YOUTH OUTCOME RATE

Of all in-school youth served, the percentage with positive outcomes at exit. The numerator is the count of all out-of school older and younger youth, including those 18-21 served as only as adults, who exit with a credential, or obtain a diploma, or who by exit were in post-secondary education or advanced training or qualified apprenticeships or the military or who entered employment.