

Report Rev. A (10/31/2006)
Matrix Rev. C (11/16/2006)

Workforce Florida, Inc.
1580 Waldo Palmer Lane
Tallahassee, FL. 32308
850.921.1119



Red & Green
Performance Report

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

Report Run Date: 10/19/2006



New Definitions and Methodologies

Attached is the fourth quarter PY 2005-2006 report which is being issued according to the official definitions and methodologies that came into effect this program year. These revised definitions and methodologies were developed for several purposes: 1. To implement the recommendations of the 2003 WFI Red & Green Report Working Group; 2. To correct errors in the previous methodologies and to respond to limitations of the OSMIS system; and 3. To respond to changes in corresponding federal measures. You will find that the WIA measures for adults and dislocated workers and the three customer satisfaction measures remain unchanged with the exception that they now employ the new federal definition of "exiter" (See the comment below). The previous measures for Welfare Return Rate, WP New Hire Involvement Rate, and WP Employer Rate have been dropped based on the recommendations of WFI Red & Green Working Group. Work on the Welfare Transition Federal Participation Rate Measure is still being reviewed. However, the performance is included in this report unranked and without color designation. AWI/WFI are still seeking clarification and testing options to ensure we employ a short-term methodology at the local level which will accurately reflect and predict the state outcome calculated by HHS. Two new informational measures are now included based on the recommendations of the WFI Red & Green Working Group: the WIA In-School Youth Outcome Rate and the WIA Out-of-School Youth Outcome Rate. The previous WIA youth measures for WIA Youth Goal Attainment and WIA Youth Positive Outcome remain on this report and will be continued through this program year based on the recommendations of the RWBs.

Ranking

According to the new methodology, the results for all measures are ranked and colored on a strict quartile basis. Measures will no longer be ranked according to goals as short term goals have not been established for PY2005 – 2006 and beyond.

Exit Definition

The newly adopted federal definition of "exiter" now applies to all Red and Green measures effective 7/1/05. Under the new federal definition a participant is counted when he/she does not receive a service for 90 consecutive calendar days but the exit date is retroactively recorded as the last date of service. This means data will be lagging compared to previous methodologies. See the 11/15/05 AWI Memo for full details.

Important Note Regarding Red and Green and Monthly Management Reports Comparisons

There are major differences between the MMR and the Red and Green reports in terms of cohorts of exiters used in calculating performance outcomes. For example, the WP entered employment rate for the July MMR uses participants who received a service in April but had no subsequent services in May, June or July while the August MMR for WP entered employment use May participants with no services in the next three months, etc.

However, the Red and Green report uses July, August, and September participants who had no services in October November and December (90 days with no services from exit date). One cannot make a direct comparison between the MMR and the R&G unless the cohort timings are considered.

To compare results from the MMR and the Red and Green for the WP entered employment rate, one would have to use the period of time from October 2005 - March 2006 on the MMR (where data are based on exiters from July thru December) to compare with exiters on the Red and Green for the period of time July thru December.

Workforce Florida, Inc.
Red-Green Performance Report
Quarter Ending 6/30/2006 4QTR PY 2005-2006

Performance Measure	RWB →	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	STW
1	Welfare Entered Employment Rate	39.59%	39.48%	36.96%	38.42%	40.21%	34.91%	37.30%	36.52%	40.35%	31.29%	34.60%	38.54%	42.74%	39.66%	37.81%	33.07%	35.98%	37.67%	37.41%	32.96%	32.37%	34.33%	34.45%	38.35%	35.97%
2	Welfare Trans Ent Emp Wage Rate	75.49%	71.08%	74.78%	72.50%	68.81%	70.07%	68.11%	70.33%	70.46%	71.65%	73.47%	71.63%	75.02%	75.90%	74.85%	72.19%	71.42%	81.62%	72.57%	77.95%	72.75%	73.97%	69.27%	77.85%	72.54%
3	Welfare Participation Rate	58.21%	42.24%	32.51%	52.73%	47.44%	41.43%	40.68%	48.99%	50.97%	43.92%	42.11%	43.06%	56.14%	47.64%	53.81%	62.17%	57.16%	57.29%	61.89%	49.90%	38.66%	36.26%	45.17%	35.66%	46.14%
4	WIA Emp Worker Outcome Rate	96.23%	75.81%	93.75%	96.55%	93.33%	98.41%	100.00%	99.75%	68.18%	100.00%	94.78%	93.08%	93.04%	100.00%	88.08%	77.59%	99.86%	100.00%	100.00%	97.83%	99.39%	79.87%	79.80%	93.45%	95.89%
5	WIA Adult Ent Emp Rate	100.00%	82.42%	100.00%	95.67%	86.36%	96.15%	100.00%	99.01%	89.17%	100.00%	95.60%	67.50%	91.67%	100.00%	100.00%	96.15%	100.00%	100.00%	95.52%	97.45%	100.00%	97.04%	88.52%	92.27%	91.74%
6	WIA Adult Ent Emp Wage Rate	144.39%	118.84%	111.50%	149.31%	128.86%	122.95%	135.93%	133.30%	119.95%	160.39%	111.85%	110.81%	181.79%	163.71%	182.21%	115.61%	167.35%	124.94%	115.74%	118.78%	125.13%	139.19%	105.41%	118.39%	130.93%
7	WIA Disl Work Ent Emp Rate	100.00%	75.61%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	98.99%	88.10%	100.00%	85.00%	79.55%	89.89%	100.00%	100.00%	99.26%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	98.18%	100.00%	99.56%	88.86%	96.90%	93.54%
8	WIA Disl Work Ent Emp Wage Rate	124.67%	123.59%	101.13%	129.82%	124.50%	116.60%	105.51%	152.45%	132.73%	184.02%	108.45%	114.68%	146.21%	153.97%	152.60%	130.62%	135.53%	138.42%	128.89%	132.00%	143.04%	165.30%	119.78%	126.00%	137.44%
9	WIA Youth Goal Attainment Rate	92.04%	98.67%	93.85%	94.57%	96.43%	44.19%	89.74%	94.59%	72.31%	90.04%	81.42%	91.57%	92.38%	92.53%	97.31%	90.29%	91.39%	85.19%	78.95%	96.58%	93.22%	86.29%	84.34%	82.46%	89.85%
10	WIA Youth Pos Outcome Rate	95.28%	100.00%	95.18%	96.41%	100.00%	87.50%	100.00%	98.87%	89.92%	94.12%	89.30%	93.75%	95.45%	98.32%	99.62%	99.12%	89.42%	100.00%	97.18%	98.32%	100.00%	93.41%	98.07%	100.00%	94.90%
11	WP Entered Employment Rate	45.39%	45.51%	41.52%	44.09%	46.92%	35.28%	41.18%	41.45%	35.96%	40.22%	45.70%	37.69%	39.65%	44.58%	43.32%	43.64%	46.41%	52.09%	46.22%	44.85%	35.97%	39.75%	38.48%	50.33%	41.88%
12	WP Job Order Wage Rate	89.149%	90.112%	77.295%	97.928%	63.271%	79.600%	92.589%	87.696%	81.933%	96.097%	92.022%	83.524%	87.647%	87.202%	88.256%	82.971%	82.975%	91.532%	86.334%	97.913%	84.662%	109.708%	81.684%	97.420%	87.334%
13	Customer Satis - WIA Individuals	7.98	8.19	8.46	8.71	7.13	8.09	8.08	8.30	8.54	8.54	8.17	7.97	7.84	8.25	8.24	8.05	7.79	8.58	8.60	8.26	8.14	7.97	8.19	8.44	8.17
14	Customer Satis - Wagner Peyser Indiv	7.716	8.027	8.209	8.148	7.697	7.893	7.551	7.279	7.663	7.669	7.550	7.318	7.672	7.193	7.442	7.412	7.895	7.457	8.306	7.901	7.748	7.830	8.219	7.808	7.736
15	Customer Satis - All Employers	7.666	7.893	8.412	7.983	7.524	8.055	7.895	7.434	7.280	7.572	7.841	6.919	7.553	7.122	7.514	7.827	7.608	7.155	7.959	7.095	7.159	6.839	7.400	7.024	7.511

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency for Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number One - Welfare Entered Employment Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# CASES CLOSED DUE TO EARNINGS	# CASES CLOSED	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE (%)
13	318	744	42.74%
09	374	927	40.35%
05	604	1,502	40.21%
14	994	2,506	39.66%
01	483	1,220	39.59%
02	107	271	39.48%
12	2,579	6,691	38.54%
04	302	786	38.42%
24	387	1,009	38.35%
15	1,760	4,655	37.81%
18	255	677	37.67%
19	202	540	37.41%
07	166	445	37.30%
03	85	230	36.96%
08	1,219	3,338	36.52%
17	467	1,298	35.98%
06	207	593	34.91%
11	701	2,026	34.60%
23	5,709	16,574	34.45%
22	1,867	5,439	34.33%
16	579	1,751	33.07%
20	615	1,866	32.96%
21	955	2,950	32.37%
10	383	1,224	31.29%
STW	21,318	59,262	35.97%

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Two - Welfare Entered Employment Wage Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	WAGE AT ENTRY (\$)	LLSIL (\$)	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT WAGE RATE (%)
18	\$9.06	\$11.10	81.62%
20	\$8.59	\$11.02	77.95%
24	\$8.75	\$11.24	77.85%
14	\$8.60	\$11.33	75.90%
01	\$8.07	\$10.69	75.49%
13	\$8.32	\$11.09	75.02%
15	\$8.54	\$11.41	74.85%
03	\$7.74	\$10.35	74.78%
22	\$8.61	\$11.64	73.97%
11	\$7.92	\$10.78	73.47%
21	\$8.49	\$11.67	72.75%
19	\$7.70	\$10.61	72.57%
04	\$7.70	\$10.62	72.50%
16	\$7.97	\$11.04	72.19%
10	\$7.71	\$10.76	71.65%
12	\$8.13	\$11.35	71.63%
17	\$7.97	\$11.16	71.42%
02	\$7.62	\$10.72	71.08%
09	\$7.80	\$11.07	70.46%
08	\$8.06	\$11.46	70.33%
06	\$7.35	\$10.49	70.07%
23	\$7.98	\$11.52	69.27%
05	\$7.70	\$11.19	68.81%
07	\$7.26	\$10.66	68.11%
STW	\$8.19	\$11.29	72.54%

FPLI = 2005 Florida Price Level Index,

LLSIL = 2005 Lower Living Standard Income Level

The Annual PY 2005-2006 LLSIL for a family of 3 for Florida is \$23,483 or \$11.29/hour. This wage is determined by state policy as the indicator for "self-sufficiency" wage and used for the denominator in calculating the Red and Green Report wage rate measures. The measure is calculated by multiplying the LLSIL for a Family of 3 by the FPLI for the region. Source: AWI, Office Labor Market Statistics

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW- 2006



30-Oct-06

Red - Green Report
Measure Number Three - Welfare Federal Participation Rate

3 Quarters Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	NUMERATOR * See note	DENOMINATOR * See note	WELFARE PARTICIPATION RATE (%)
01	1,085	1,864	58.21%
02	294	696	42.24%
03	131	403	32.51%
04	512	971	52.73%
05	1,175	2,477	47.44%
06	312	753	41.43%
07	297	730	40.68%
08	2,548	5,201	48.99%
09	708	1,389	50.97%
10	758	1,726	43.92%
11	1,275	3,028	42.11%
12	4,557	10,583	43.06%
13	741	1,320	56.14%
14	2,080	4,366	47.64%
15	3,700	6,876	53.81%
16	1,760	2,831	62.17%
17	1,113	1,947	57.16%
18	448	782	57.29%
19	367	593	61.89%
20	973	1,950	49.90%
21	1,634	4,227	38.66%
22	3,094	8,532	36.26%
23	11,946	26,444	45.17%
24	414	1,161	35.66%
STW	41,922	90,850	46.14%

Ways the Federal Participation Rate monthly file is calculated (and displayed on the MMR) that is different than the way the Fed Participation Rate quarterly file is calculated. 1.) monthly services, hours and sanctions are pulled 10 days after the end of the month, quarterly data pulled much later allowing for services, hours and sanctions to be picked up more accurately. 2.) MONTHLY procedure currently doesn't: check the 12 month lifetime logic for the vocational education activity for participants; run the subject to sanction logic which checks to see if the participant has been subject to sanction for 3 for 12 consecutive months; run the youngest child logic against 2 different DCF "family" files; run the complex "BASIC PLUS" activity logic or count single heads of household under 20 as "fully participating" if they are only engaged in 20 avg. hrs per week

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.

* For details see AWI Memo Subject: Red and Green Measures and Definitions dated 11/15/2005. This document can be found at www.floridajobs.org/pdg/Memos/RedGreenMeasuresAndDefinitions111505.pdf CLW-2006



30-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Four - WIA Employed Worker Outcome Rate With Locally Issued Certificates

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# ATTAINED A CREDENTIAL	# EXITERS	WORKER OUTCOME RATE (%)
07	35	35	100.00%
10	166	166	100.00%
14	673	673	100.00%
18	324	324	100.00%
19	26	26	100.00%
17	700	701	99.86%
08	2,425	2,431	99.75%
21	327	329	99.39%
06	62	63	98.41%
20	225	230	97.83%
04	112	116	96.55%
01	51	53	96.23%
11	127	134	94.78%
03	30	32	93.75%
24	157	168	93.45%
05	14	15	93.33%
12	511	549	93.08%
13	147	158	93.04%
15	229	260	88.08%
22	250	313	79.87%
23	162	203	79.80%
16	45	58	77.59%
02	47	62	75.81%
09	90	132	68.18%
STW	6,936	7,233	95.89%

Attained A Credential - Individuals who successfully complete occupational related training, OJT and/or Customized Training and obtain a credential related to such training.

NOTE: This measure includes locally issued certificates. See the attached table that excludes locally issued certificates for comparison.

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



30-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Four - WIA Employed Worker Outcome Rate Without Locally Issued Certificates

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# ATTAINED A CREDENTIAL	# EXITERS	WORKER OUTCOME RATE (%)
01	45	53	84.91%
02	45	62	72.58%
03	28	32	87.50%
04	112	116	96.55%
05	11	15	73.33%
06	37	63	58.73%
07	35	35	100.00%
08	2,411	2,431	99.18%
09	90	132	68.18%
10	144	166	86.75%
11	99	134	73.88%
12	490	549	89.25%
13	123	158	77.85%
14	672	673	99.85%
15	229	260	88.08%
16	43	58	74.14%
17	557	701	79.46%
18	321	324	99.07%
19	22	26	84.62%
20	221	230	96.09%
21	305	329	92.71%
22	247	313	78.91%
23	162	203	79.80%
24	132	168	78.57%
STW	6,582	7,233	91.00%

INFORMATIONAL ONLY

Attained A Credential - Individuals who successfully complete occupational related training, OJT and/or Customized Training and obtain a credential related to such training.

NOTE: This measure excludes locally issued certificates. See the attached table that includes locally issued certificates for comparison.

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Five - WIA Adult Entered Employment Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# ENTERING EMPLOYMENT	# EXITERS NOT EMPLOYED @ REG	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE (%)
01	52	52	100.00%
03	60	60	100.00%
07	32	32	100.00%
10	300	300	100.00%
14	81	81	100.00%
15	67	67	100.00%
17	102	102	100.00%
18	29	29	100.00%
21	410	410	100.00%
08	201	203	99.01%
20	191	196	97.45%
22	393	405	97.04%
06	25	26	96.15%
16	75	78	96.15%
04	221	231	95.67%
11	391	409	95.60%
19	64	67	95.52%
24	179	194	92.27%
13	44	48	91.67%
09	107	120	89.17%
23	2,305	2,604	88.52%
05	19	22	86.36%
02	75	91	82.42%
12	216	320	67.50%
STW	5,641	6,149	91.74%

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Six - WIA Adult Entered Employment Wage Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	WAGE AT ENTRY (\$)	LLSIL (\$)	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT WAGE RATE (%)
15	\$20.79	\$11.41	182.21%
13	\$20.16	\$11.09	181.79%
17	\$18.68	\$11.16	167.35%
14	\$18.55	\$11.33	163.71%
10	\$17.26	\$10.76	160.39%
04	\$15.86	\$10.62	149.31%
01	\$15.44	\$10.69	144.39%
22	\$16.20	\$11.64	139.19%
07	\$14.49	\$10.66	135.93%
08	\$15.28	\$11.46	133.30%
05	\$14.42	\$11.19	128.86%
21	\$14.60	\$11.67	125.13%
18	\$13.87	\$11.10	124.94%
06	\$12.90	\$10.49	122.95%
09	\$13.28	\$11.07	119.95%
02	\$12.74	\$10.72	118.84%
20	\$13.09	\$11.02	118.78%
24	\$13.31	\$11.24	118.39%
19	\$12.28	\$10.61	115.74%
16	\$12.76	\$11.04	115.61%
11	\$12.06	\$10.78	111.85%
03	\$11.54	\$10.35	111.50%
12	\$12.58	\$11.35	110.81%
23	\$12.14	\$11.52	105.41%
STW	\$14.78	\$11.29	130.93%

FPLI = 2005 Florida Price Level Index, LLSIL = 2005 Lower Living Standard Income Level

The Annual PY 2005-2006 LLSIL for a family of 3 for Florida is \$23,483 or \$11.29/hour; selected by state policy as the indicator for "self-sufficiency" wage and used for the denominator in calculating the Red and Green Report wage rate measures. The measure is calculated by multiplying the LLSIL for a Family of 3 by the FPLI for the region. Source: AWI, Office Labor Market Statistics.

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Seven - WIA Dislocated Worker Entered Employment Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# ENTERING EMPLOYMENT	# EXITERS	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE (%)
01	19	19	100.00%
03	20	20	100.00%
05	31	31	100.00%
07	26	26	100.00%
10	79	79	100.00%
14	269	269	100.00%
15	114	114	100.00%
17	45	45	100.00%
18	70	70	100.00%
19	26	26	100.00%
21	317	317	100.00%
22	681	684	99.56%
16	135	136	99.26%
08	98	99	98.99%
20	108	110	98.18%
24	281	290	96.90%
04	38	40	95.00%
06	19	20	95.00%
13	80	89	89.89%
23	1,188	1,337	88.86%
09	37	42	88.10%
11	51	60	85.00%
12	284	357	79.55%
02	31	41	75.61%
STW	4,055	4,335	93.54%

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Eight - WIA Dislocated Worker Entered Employment Wage Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	WAGE AT ENTRY (\$)	LLSIL (\$)	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT WAGE RATE (%)
10	\$19.80	\$10.76	184.02%
22	\$19.24	\$11.64	165.30%
14	\$17.44	\$11.33	153.97%
15	\$17.41	\$11.41	152.60%
08	\$17.47	\$11.46	152.45%
13	\$16.21	\$11.09	146.21%
21	\$16.69	\$11.67	143.04%
18	\$15.36	\$11.10	138.42%
17	\$15.13	\$11.16	135.53%
09	\$14.69	\$11.07	132.73%
20	\$14.55	\$11.02	132.00%
16	\$14.42	\$11.04	130.62%
04	\$13.79	\$10.62	129.82%
19	\$13.68	\$10.61	128.89%
24	\$14.16	\$11.24	126.00%
01	\$13.33	\$10.69	124.67%
05	\$13.93	\$11.19	124.50%
02	\$13.25	\$10.72	123.59%
23	\$13.80	\$11.52	119.78%
06	\$12.23	\$10.49	116.60%
12	\$13.02	\$11.35	114.68%
11	\$11.69	\$10.78	108.45%
07	\$11.25	\$10.66	105.51%
03	\$10.47	\$10.35	101.13%
STW	\$15.52	\$11.29	137.44%

FPLI = 2005 Florida Price Level Index, LLSIL = 2005 Lower Living Standard Income Level

The Annual PY 2005-2006 LLSIL for a family of 3 for Florida is \$23,483 or \$11.29/hour; selected by state policy as the indicator for "self-sufficiency" wage and used for the denominator in calculating the Red and Green Report wage rate measures. The measures is calculated by multiplying the LLSIL for a Family of 3 by the FPLI for the region. Source: AWI, Office Labor Market Statistics

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Nine - Youth Skill Attainment Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# GOALS ATTAINED	# GOALS DUE	ATTAINMENT RATE (%)
2	222	225	98.67%
15	652	670	97.31%
20	847	877	96.58%
5	757	785	96.43%
8	980	1,036	94.59%
4	331	350	94.57%
3	183	195	93.85%
21	454	487	93.22%
14	260	281	92.53%
13	97	105	92.38%
1	312	339	92.04%
12	456	498	91.57%
17	382	418	91.39%
16	158	175	90.29%
10	244	271	90.04%
7	140	156	89.74%
22	428	496	86.29%
18	23	27	85.19%
23	2,241	2,657	84.34%
24	174	211	82.46%
11	184	226	81.42%
19	225	285	78.95%
9	222	307	72.31%
6	19	43	44.19%
STW	9,991	11,120	89.85%

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



30-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Ten - WIA Youth Positive Outcome Rate

Quarter Ending:6/30/2006

RWB	# OF POSITIVE OUTCOMES	# YOUTH EXITERS	OUTCOME RATE (%)
02	90	90	100.00%
05	75	75	100.00%
07	16	16	100.00%
18	21	21	100.00%
21	229	229	100.00%
24	64	64	100.00%
15	261	262	99.62%
16	112	113	99.12%
08	610	617	98.87%
14	117	119	98.32%
20	234	238	98.32%
19	69	71	97.18%
04	161	167	96.41%
13	84	88	95.45%
01	121	127	95.28%
03	79	83	95.18%
10	112	119	94.12%
12	210	224	93.75%
22	425	455	93.41%
09	107	119	89.92%
17	186	208	89.42%
11	167	187	89.30%
23	701	787	89.07%
06	7	8	87.50%
STW	4,258	4,487	94.90%

DATA SOURCE: All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc.



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Eleven - Wagner Peyser Entered Employment Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# OF INDIVIDUALS PLACED AND OBTAINED EMPLOYMENT	# OF APPLICANTS	ENTERED EMPLOYMENT RATE (%)
18	9,167	17,597	52.09
24	23,296	46,288	50.33
05	9,037	19,261	46.92
17	13,511	29,111	46.41
19	3,396	7,348	46.22
11	7,364	16,115	45.70
02	4,230	9,294	45.51
01	8,916	19,642	45.39
20	13,301	29,657	44.85
14	18,096	40,589	44.58
04	5,068	11,494	44.09
16	9,659	22,135	43.64
15	29,441	67,957	43.32
03	3,307	7,964	41.52
08	25,602	61,766	41.45
07	2,456	5,964	41.18
10	8,889	22,102	40.22
22	23,928	60,197	39.75
13	11,068	27,917	39.65
23	39,354	102,272	38.48
12	33,865	89,844	37.69
21	15,398	42,804	35.97
09	4,205	11,695	35.96
06	2,620	7,426	35.28
STW	325,174	776,439	41.88

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



31-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Measure Number Twelve - Wagner Peyser Job Order Wage Rate

Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# of Placements on Job Order times the Minimum Salary Range divided by the # of Placements	Regionally Adjusted LLSIL for a Family of 3 - LLSIL (\$)	Job Order WAGE RATE (%)
22	\$12.77	\$11.64	109.708%
04	\$10.40	\$10.62	97.928%
20	\$10.79	\$11.02	97.913%
24	\$10.95	\$11.24	97.420%
10	\$10.34	\$10.76	96.097%
07	\$9.87	\$10.66	92.589%
11	\$9.92	\$10.78	92.022%
18	\$10.16	\$11.10	91.532%
02	\$9.66	\$10.72	90.112%
01	\$9.53	\$10.69	89.149%
15	\$10.07	\$11.41	88.256%
08	\$10.05	\$11.46	87.696%
13	\$9.72	\$11.09	87.647%
14	\$9.88	\$11.33	87.202%
19	\$9.16	\$10.61	86.334%
21	\$9.88	\$11.67	84.662%
12	\$9.48	\$11.35	83.524%
17	\$9.26	\$11.16	82.975%
16	\$9.16	\$11.04	82.971%
09	\$9.07	\$11.07	81.933%
23	\$9.41	\$11.52	81.684%
06	\$8.35	\$10.49	79.600%
03	\$8.00	\$10.35	77.295%
05	\$7.08	\$11.19	63.271%
STW	\$9.86	\$11.29	87.334%

FPLI = 2005 Florida Price Level Index,

LLSIL = 2005 Lower Living Standard Income Level

The Annual PY 2005-2006 LLSIL for a family of 3 for Florida is \$23,483 or \$11.29/hour. This wage is determined by state policy as the indicator for "self-sufficiency" wage and used for the denominator in calculating the Red and Green Report wage rate measures. The measures is calculated by multiplying the LLSIL for a Family of 3 by the FPLI for the region. Source: AWI, Office Labor Market Statistics

AWI has verified the outcomes for this measure based on its recent review of the measure methodology and corrections/updates to the MMR.

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



Red - Green Report

**Measure Number Thirteen- Customer Satisfaction WIA Individuals (Participants)
 Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006**

RWB	ACSI SCORE
04	8.71
19	8.60
18	8.58
09	8.54
10	8.54
03	8.46
24	8.44
08	8.30
20	8.26
14	8.25
15	8.24
02	8.19
23	8.19
11	8.17
21	8.14
06	8.09
07	8.08
16	8.05
01	7.98
12	7.97
22	7.97
13	7.84
17	7.79
05	7.13
STW	8.17

The American Customer Satisfaction Index – The ACSI weighted score usually yields scores slightly less than the average. The Voice of the Nation’s Consumer Established in 1994, the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) is a uniform and independent measure of household consumption experience. A powerful economic indicator, the ACSI tracks trends in customer satisfaction and provides valuable benchmarking insights of the consumer economy for companies, industry trade associations, and government agencies. The ACSI is produced through a partnership of the University of Michigan Business School, the American Society for Quality (ASQ), and the international consulting firm, CFI Group. The ACSI reports scores on a 0-100 scale at the national level. However, the State of Florida’s workforce policy has adapted a revised 10 point scale for this measure. It measures 7 economic sectors, 35 industries (including e-commerce), 190 companies, and Federal or local government agencies. In addition to the company-level satisfaction scores, the ACSI produces scores for the causes and consequences of customer satisfaction, and their relationships. The ACSI is based on econometric modeling of data obtained from telephone interviews with customers – the actual users of products and services that make up a substantial part of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The measured companies, industries, and sectors are broadly representative of the U.S. economy serving American households. Companies based outside of the United States with major market shares in several industries are also included in the ACSI. Smaller companies are grouped together in an “All Other” category. Data are collected at the individual customer level, with scores for a company’s customers aggregated to produce company-level results. The score for a particular industry consists of an average of its company scores, weighted by the revenues of each company. Sector scores consist of industry scores, weighted by industry revenues. The national ACSI is comprised of sector scores weighted by each sector’s contribution to the GDP. For more information: http://www.theacsi.org/what_it_measures.htm

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF’s FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue’s New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



Red - Green Report

Measure Number Fourteen- Customer Satisfaction W-P Individuals (Applicants)
Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	ACSI SCORE
19	8.306
23	8.219
03	8.209
04	8.148
02	8.027
20	7.901
17	7.895
06	7.893
22	7.830
24	7.808
21	7.748
01	7.716
05	7.697
13	7.672
10	7.669
09	7.663
07	7.551
11	7.550
18	7.457
15	7.442
16	7.412
12	7.318
08	7.279
14	7.193
STW	7.736

The American Customer Satisfaction Index – The ACSI weighted score usually yields scores slightly less than the average. The Voice of the Nation’s Consumer Established in 1994, the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) is a uniform and independent measure of household consumption experience. A powerful economic indicator, the ACSI tracks trends in customer satisfaction and provides valuable benchmarking insights of the consumer economy for companies, industry trade associations, and government agencies. The ACSI is produced through a partnership of the University of Michigan Business School, the American Society for Quality (ASQ), and the international consulting firm, CFI Group. The ACSI reports scores on a 0-100 scale at the national level. However, the State of Florida’s workforce policy has adapted a revised 10 point scale for this measure. It measures 7 economic sectors, 35 industries (including e-commerce), 190 companies, and Federal or local government agencies. In addition to the company-level satisfaction scores, the ACSI produces scores for the causes and consequences of customer satisfaction, and their relationships. The ACSI is based on econometric modeling of data obtained from telephone interviews with customers – the actual users of products and services that make up a substantial part of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The measured companies, industries, and sectors are broadly representative of the U.S. economy serving American households. Companies based outside of the United States with major market shares in several industries are also included in the ACSI. Smaller companies are grouped together in an “All Other” category. Data are collected at the individual customer level, with scores for a company’s customers aggregated to produce company-level results. The score for a particular industry consists of an average of its company scores, weighted by the revenues of each company. Sector scores consist of industry scores, weighted by industry revenues. The national ACSI is comprised of sector scores weighted by each sector’s contribution to the GDP. For more information: http://www.theacsi.org/what_it_measures.htm

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF’s FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue’s New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



Red - Green Report

**Measure Number Fifteen- Customer Satisfaction All Employers
Quarter Ending: 6/30/2006**

RWB	ACSI SCORE
03	8.412
06	8.055
04	7.983
19	7.959
07	7.895
02	7.893
11	7.841
16	7.827
01	7.666
17	7.608
10	7.572
13	7.553
05	7.524
15	7.514
08	7.434
23	7.400
09	7.280
21	7.159
18	7.155
14	7.122
20	7.095
24	7.024
12	6.919
22	6.839
STW	7.511

The American Customer Satisfaction Index – The ACSI weighted score usually yields scores slightly less than the average.

The Voice of the Nation's Consumer Established in 1994, the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) is a uniform and independent measure of household consumption experience. A powerful economic indicator, the ACSI tracks trends in customer satisfaction and provides valuable benchmarking insights of the consumer economy for companies, industry trade associations, and government agencies.

The ACSI is produced through a partnership of the University of Michigan Business School, the American Society for Quality (ASQ), and the international consulting firm, CFI Group. The ACSI reports scores on a 0-100 scale at the national level. However, the State of Florida's workforce policy has adapted a revised 10 point scale for this measure. It measures 7 economic sectors, 35 industries (including e-commerce), 190 companies, and Federal or local government agencies. In addition to the company-level satisfaction scores, the ACSI produces scores for the causes and consequences of customer satisfaction, and their relationships. The ACSI is based on econometric modeling of data obtained from telephone interviews with customers – the actual users of products and services that make up a substantial part of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The measured companies, industries, and sectors are broadly representative of the U.S. economy serving American households. Companies based outside of the United States with major market shares in several industries are also included in the ACSI. Smaller companies are grouped together in an "All Other" category. Data are collected at the individual customer level, with scores for a company's customers aggregated to produce company-level results. The score for a particular industry consists of an average of its company scores, weighted by the revenues of each company. Sector scores consist of industry scores, weighted by industry revenues. The national ACSI is comprised of sector scores weighted by each sector's contribution to the GDP. For more information: http://www.theacsi.org/what_it_measures.htm

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report
Informational WIA In-School Outcome Rate

Year Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# GOALS ATTAINED	# GOALS DUE	ATTAINMENT RATE (%)
1	67	85	78.82%
2	54	80	67.50%
3	46	67	68.66%
4	96	156	61.54%
5	29	68	42.65%
6	6	7	85.71%
7	6	7	85.71%
8	220	571	38.53%
9	77	112	68.75%
10	65	102	63.73%
11	51	96	53.13%
12	143	209	68.42%
13	35	39	89.74%
14	73	89	82.02%
15	157	187	83.96%
16	62	95	65.26%
17	61	117	52.14%
18	3	10	30.00%
19	26	47	55.32%
20	186	192	96.88%
21	82	167	49.10%
22	147	312	47.12%
23	207	516	40.12%
24	30	30	100.00%
STW	1,929	3,361	57.39%

All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AWI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006



19-Oct-06

Red - Green Report

Informational WIA Out of School Youth Outcome Rate

Year Ending: 6/30/2006

RWB	# OF POSITIVE OUTCOMES	# YOUTH EXITERS	OUTCOME RATE (%)
01	53	57	92.98%
02	33	36	91.67%
03	45	46	97.83%
04	70	75	93.33%
05	28	28	100.00%
06	10	11	90.91%
07	35	36	97.22%
08	294	305	96.39%
09	52	60	86.67%
10	53	54	98.15%
11	118	149	79.19%
12	261	298	87.58%
13	82	85	96.47%
14	104	105	99.05%
15	167	168	99.40%
16	70	73	95.89%
17	620	679	91.31%
18	50	56	89.29%
19	17	18	94.44%
20	137	138	99.28%
21	262	262	100.00%
22	358	418	85.65%
23	722	835	86.47%
24	112	116	96.55%
STW	3,753	4,108	91.36%

DATA SOURCE: All data used in the development of this report were provided by the Agency For Workforce Innovation (AWI). The AwI sources for the data are as follows: Welfare Transition performance data from the OSST and DCF's FLORIDA system; Workforce Investment Act and Wagner-Peyser performance from the OSMIS and Department of Revenue's New Hire database, and Customer Satisfaction performance provided by Brandt Information Systems, Inc. CLW - 2006